Count \$ Count 1	Filed 04/11/2005	Page 1 of 12
UNITED STATES DIST DISTRICT OF MASSA		The ITP 34
HISAYO MURAKAMI, A 78-382-238 and CHRISTOPHER KYRIAKIDES, Plaintiffs,	-)))	es mails de poyégé
V.) CIVIL ACTION	I FILE NO.
ALBERTO GONZALES, as Attorney General of the United States; MICHAEL CHERTOFF as the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; EDUARDO AGUIRRE, JR. as Director of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services; DENNIS RIORDAN as District Director of the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services in Boston, Massachusetts; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; and UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES,)	MAGISTRATE SUDGE South
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND FOR OTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

INTRODUCTION

1. This action is brought by the Plaintiffs, a married couple, to compel the Defendants to adjudicate the application by Plaintiffs for the removal of the condition on Mrs. Murakami's residence. The application has been on file with the Defendants since January 23, 2003, and Defendants have refused to adjudicate the case.

Page 2 of 12

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Hisayo Murakami is a native and citizen of Japan. She became a 5. Conditional Resident of the United States during April 2001, based on her marriage to Christopher Kyriakides, a U.S. Citizen.
- Christopher Kyriakides is a U.S. Citizen. During May 1999, he married Mrs. 6. Murakami. He petitioned for, and obtained, conditional residence for his wife.
- Defendant Alberto Gonzales is Attorney General of the United States, and is 7. charged with supervisory authority of the Department of Justice, and has the authority on questions of law in relation to the Immigration and Nationality Act 8 USC §1103(a)(1) & (g).
- 8. Defendant, Michael Chertoff is the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security and charged under 8 USC §1103(a) with supervising, implementing, and enforcing the Immigration and Nationality Act.
- 9. Defendant Eduardo Aguirre, Jr. is the Director of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, and charged under, 8 USC §1103(c), with the implementation of benefits under the Immigration and Nationality Act.
- Defendant Dennis Riordan is the District Director of the United Statues 10. Citizenship and Immigration Services in Boston, Massachusetts charged with the

authority over implementation of benefits under the Immigration and Nationality Act in the Boston District Office. The Hartford, Connecticut office is a sub-office of the Boston, Massachusetts office.

- Defendant, the United States Department of Homeland Security, is a Federal 11. agency of the United States government that is mandated under, 8 USC §1103(a) to supervise, implement, and enforce the Immigration and Nationality Act, including Applications for Change of Status and Applications for Employment Authorization.
- Defendant United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (hereinafter, 12. "USCIS") is an agency involved in the acts challenged in this action, and is a subordinate agency within the Defendant United States Department of Homeland Security.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiffs are a married couple who have already navigated their way through 13. much of the immigration process to obtain resident status for Mrs. Murakami. The couple was married on May 10, 1999. Shortly after their marriage, Mr. Kyriakides petitioned for his wife's permanent residence. Mrs. Murakami was granted Conditional Resident status in April 2001.
- As required by 8 CFR §216.4, in a timely manner, the couple applied to remove 14. the condition on residence on January 23, 2003 by submitting an I-751 Petition to Remove Conditions on Residence to the Vermont Service Center.

- In accordance with, and pursuant to, the regulations governing 8 USC § 216.5, et 15. seq., Plaintiffs paid the fees necessary to file their application.
- The USCIS duly accepted the fee paid by Plaintiffs. 16.
- Plaintiff submitted to the USCIS all documentation required by regulation and by 17. statute to be submitted as part of the application procedure.
- On January 28, 2003, the Service Center confirmed receipt of the application 18. (Exhibit "A").
- 19. 8 CFR §216.4(c) states that the USCIS shall adjudicate the petition within 90 days of the date of the interview, unless the interview is waived.
- The couple patiently waited for over a year before they sought an explanation for 20. the delay in their case. During October 2004, the couple began to inquire into the status of their pending application by calling the USCIS Customer Service Number.
- The couple was told that they would have to call the Customer Service Number at 21. least four times, waiting at least 30 days between phone calls. On the fourth phone call, if no action had been taken, the caller would be transferred to a USCIS officer who would then be able to give them some information about their case. The couple and their attorney called at least eight times before the agents answering the telephone acknowledged, based on call logs, that four phone calls had been made. During the final

- Plaintiffs submitted to the USCIS documentation sufficient to enable the USCIS 22. to process their application, and adjudicate said application.
- To date, the USCIS has failed and refused to act on the Plaintiffs' I-751 Petition 23. to Remove Conditions on Residence.
- Plaintiffs' I-751 application has now remained unadjudicated for over two year. 24.
- 25. The failure to conduct reasonably timely processing and adjudication of the applications is due to a national policy of delaying all such applications. The subject delays are not caused by any factors unique to this plaintiff.
- Defendants' refusal to act in this case is, as a matter of law, arbitrary and not in 26. accordance with the law. Defendants willfully, and unreasonably, have delayed in and have refused to, adjudicate Plaintiffs application. Defendants' refusal to act has deprived Plaintiffs of the right to a decision regarding Mrs. Murakami's status, and the peace of mind to which Plaintiffs are entitled.
- The Defendants, in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 USC §701 27. et seq., are unlawfully withholding or unreasonably delaying action on Plaintiffs' application and have failed to carry out the adjudicative functions delegated to them by

law with regard to Plaintiffs' case.

Despite the clear mandate of 5 USC Section 551 et seq., and 8 CFR §216.4(c), 28. requiring the USCIS to process the applications, and adjudicate the applications within a reasonable time, the USCIS has failed and/or refused to do so.

Document 1

- Defendant USCIS's duty to process Plaintiff's applications, and adjudicate said 29. applications "within a reasonable time" is a non-discretionary duty mandated by federal law.
- 30. Defendant USCIS's conduct in failing to process Plaintiffs' applications, and adjudicate said applications in a reasonably timely manner have caused unnecessary and injurious delays to Plaintiff, in violation of his rights as alleged herein.
- Plaintiff has exhausted all administrative remedies available and has determined 31. that no adequate remedy exists.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

- Pursuant to 8 CFR §216.4: Joint petition to remove conditional basis of lawful 32. permanent resident status for alien spouse;
 - (a) Filing the petition -- (1) General procedures. Within the 90-day period immediately preceding the second anniversary of the date on which the alien obtained permanent residence, the alien and the alien's spouse who filed the original immigrant visa petition or fiance/fiancee petition through

which the alien obtained permanent residence must file a Petition to Remove the Conditions on Residence (Form I-751) with the Service. The petition shall be filed within this time period regardless of the amount of physical presence which the alien has accumulated in the United States. Before Form I-751 may be considered as properly filed, it must be accompanied by the fee required under § 103.7(b) of this chapter and by documentation as described in paragraph (a)(5) of this section, and it must be properly signed by the alien and the alien's spouse. If the joint petition cannot be filed due to the termination of the marriage through annulment, divorce, or the death of the petitioning spouse, or if the petitioning spouse refuses to join in the filing of the petition, the conditional permanent resident may apply for a waiver of the requirement to file the joint petition in accordance with the provisions of § 216.5 of this part. Upon receipt of a properly filed Form I-751, the alien's conditional permanent resident status shall be extended automatically, if necessary, until such time as the director has adjudicated the petition.

- Under the same title, 8 USC § 216.4(c): 33.
 - (c) Adjudication of petition. The director shall adjudicate the petition within 90 days of the date of the interview, unless the interview is waived in accordance with paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

Plaintiffs have exhausted their administrative remedies. Plaintiffs have made 34. numerous inquiries in an attempt to secure adjudication of their application, all to no avail. Accordingly, Plaintiffs have been forced to retain the services of an attorney to pursue the instant action.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs realleges paragraphs 1 through 34 as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs 35.

Page 8 of 12

are entitled to an order in the nature of mandamus to compel Defendants to promulgate the regulations, to process Plaintiffs' application, and, to adjudicate Plaintiffs' Petition to Remove Conditions on Residence in a reasonably timely manner.

- Plaintiffs are entitled to injunctive relief to prevent the USCIS from engaging in a 36. willful failure to process Plaintiffs' application, and to require the USCIS to adjudicate their application in a reasonably timely manner.
- 37. Defendants willfully, and unreasonably, delayed and refused to adjudicate Plaintiffs' Petition to Remove Conditions on Residence, thereby depriving Plaintiffs of the ability to have peace of mind as to Mrs. Murakami's status, to which Plaintiffs are entitled under federal law.
- 38. Defendants owe Plaintiffs the duty to act upon their application, and have unreasonably failed to perform that duty. Plaintiffs has provided all relevant information and facts on their case.
- Compelling factors genuinely exist in the Plaintiffs' case, as they has been 39. deprived of the right to feel secure in Mrs. Murakami's status in the United States, a benefit to which she has proven eligibility.
- Plaintiffs have exhausted any administrative remedies that may exist. No other 40. remedy exists for Plaintiffs to resolve Defendant's delay.

41. Plaintiffs are eligible for payment of attorney's fees, related expenses, and costs pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 USC Section 2412.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, in view of the arguments and authority noted herein. Plaintiffs respectfully requests that the Defendants be cited to appear herein and that, upon due consideration, the Court enter an order:

- (a) requiring Defendants to adjudicate Plaintiffs' Petition to Remove Conditions on Residence:
- (b) awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees; and
- (c) granting such other relief at law and in equity as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiffs.

HISAYO MURAKAMI, and CHRISTOPHER KYRIAKIDES,

By their attorney,

Shiva Karimi, Esq. KARIMI & HOMSY, P.C.

268 Summer Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-1108

Tel: (617) 292-3636 Fax: (617) 292-0019 BBO No. 642332

Dated: April 11, 2005

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
A	I-797 Receipt Notice for I-751 Application to Remove Conditional Residential Status

EXHIBIT "A"

Xø												
	2 6.5											
			HOLER BY MENER AND CHERRISHED THE REAL STREET									

Nobice of B	RECEIPT NUMBER	NOTICE DATE	
Notice of Action	EAC-03-119-00085	·	PAGE
CASE TYPE	1710 03 113-00083	January 28,	
1751 Application to r	emove conditional reside	ntial status	RECEIPT DATE January 23, 2003
PETITIONER			FILE NUMBER
HISAYO MURAKAMI			A 78382238
i i	and the second of the second o		FILE NUMBER
		and the second s	

Receipt Notice

H. MURAKAMI

FROM:

RECEIVED \$145.00 IN THE FORM OF A MONEY ORDER AND

10 SCOTTFIELD RD 13 ALLSTON

MA 02134

********* The above receipt number MUST accompany all inquiries! ********

RECEIVED \$145.00]
HISAYO MURAKAMI

\$145.00 IN THE FORM OF MONEY ORDER AND MURAKAMI

Your alien card is extended 1 year-employment & travel authorized. Processing Your form will require a minimum of 30 days. If you have not heard from us within 12 months, then may contact this office.

You will be notified separately about other applications or petitions you file. Please save this notice for your records.

Please enclose a copy of it if you have to write to us about this case, or if you file another application based on this decision,

If you have any questions concerning your case, please call at: (202)-527-4913

Our address is:

Vermont Service Center 75 Lower Welden St. St. Albans, VT 05479-0001

Form I-751 (93)

⇒JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

Case 1:05-cv-10718-DPW Document 1-2 Filed 04/11/2005 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleauings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating he civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

. (a) PLAINTIFFS	THE CHARLES OF THE REPORT	ob of The Country		DEFENDANTS		***************************************					
lisayo Murakami and Ch	ristopher Kyriakides			Alberto Gonzales, as attorney general, et al.							
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS Address, and Telephone Number)	,	Γ	LAND Attorneys (IFKnown)	Suffolk County, MA ONLY) SETHE LOCATION OF THE District Counsel for U.S.						
68 Summer Street, Lowe	er Level, Boston, MA 02			Department of Hor							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintil and One Box for Defendant)					
J 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)		P	TF DEF 1 1	PTF DEF rincipal Place					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	1 2						
N. NATURE OF SUIT				en or Subject of a Treign Country	I 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	:7 6 17 6					
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only TOR		FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES					
 J 110 Insurance J 120 Marine J 130 Miller Act J 140 Negotiable Instrument J 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment J 151 Medicare Act J 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) J 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits J 160 Stockholders' Suits J 190 Other Contract J 195 Contract Product Liability J 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY J 210 Land Condemnation J 220 Foreclosure J 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment J 240 Torts to Land J 245 Tort Product Liability J 290 All Other Real Property 	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 7 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Y	nto Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 120 Labor/Mgmt, Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt, Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) ☐ FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes					
I Original I 2 R	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat	Appellate Court tute under which you ar	Reor	stated or another specific pened (specific pened) Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity)	1 Judgment					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of can	use:			USC §1329, 5 USC §50 signation applications	04, 28 USC §2412					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint: :					
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER						
04/11/2005 OR OFFICE USE ONLY	· .	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY,	OF RECORD ,							
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	• •	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE.					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title o	f case (n	ame of first party on	each side onl	_{y)} Hisayo Murakar	ni, et a	I. v. Albe	erto Gor	nzales, et a	l.
		·			1.			: F10F		
2.	Catego	ory in wh	ich the case belongs	based upon t	the numbered nature of	f suit co	de listed	an the cir	vik čover shee	et (Sooloos)
	rule 40).1(a)(1)).						Ţ) 	An Annel Sties	ri. (See local
		1.	160, 410, 470, R.	23, REGARDL	ESS OF NATURE OF SI	UIT.		111	: ;	
	✓	II.	195, 196, 368, 40 740, 790, 791, 82	0, 440, 441-44(0*, 830*, 840*,	3, 540, 550, 555, 625, 71 850, 890, 892-894, 895,	0, 720, 1 950.	730, *Als for	o comple patent, te	ete AO 120 or rademark or o	AO 121 copyright case
		1Л.	110, 120, 130, 14 315, 320, 330, 34 380, 385, 450, 89	0, 345, 350, 35	0, 230, 240, 245, 290, 3 [,] 5, 360, 362, 365, 370, 37	10, 71,				,, 0
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 436 690, 810, 861-865	0, 460, 480, 49 5, 870, 871, 875	0, 610, 620, 630, 640, 68 i, 900.	50, 660,				
	<u> </u>	V.	150, 152, 153.							
3.	Title an district N/A	d numbe please ii	er, if any, of related c ndicate the title and i	ases. (See loo number of the	al rule 40.1(g)). If more first filed case in this c	e than o ourt.	ne prior r	elated ca	se has been t	filed in this
4.	Has a p	rior actio	on between the same	parties and b	ased on the same clain	n ever b	een filed i	n this co	urt?	
_	_					YES		NO	✓	
5.	Does th §2403)	e compl	aint in this case ques	stion the const	titutionality of an act of	congre	ss affectii	ng the pu	blic interest?	' (See 28 US
	lf so, is	the U.S./	A. or an officer, agen	t or employee	of the U.S. a party?	YES	1	NO		
						YES	A comment of the comm	NO	700000	
.	is this c	ase requ	ired to be heard and	determined by	a district court of thre	e judge	s pursuar	nt to title	28 USC §228	4?
						YES		NO	~	
. [Do <u>ail</u> of Massach	the part nusetts (ies in th⊪s action, ex "governmental agend	cluding gover cies"), residin	nmental agencies of th g in Massachusetts res	e united side in ti	states ar he same c	nd the Co fivision?	mmonwealth - (See Local	of Rule 40.1(d)).
						YES		NO		
		A.	If yes, in which div	rision do <u>all</u> of	the non-governmental	parties	reside?		:	
			Eastern Division	- Annual Appropriate Control of the	Central Division	The course of			ern Division	
		В.	If no, in which divi- residing in Massac	sion do the ma husetts reside	ajority of the plaintiffs o	or the or	nly parties	, excludi	ng governme	ntal agencies,
			Eastern Division		Central Division				ern Division	**************************************
lf S	filing a ubmit a	Notice o separate	f Removal - are there sheet identifying the	any motions e motions)	pending in the state co	ourt requ	iring the	attention	of this Court	? (If yes,
						YES		NO		
LE	ASE TYP	PE OR PI	RINT)							
		-	Shiva Karimi, Es							
DDF	RESS	268 Su	mmer Street, Bos	ton, Massac	chusetts 02210-110	8		<u> </u>		· · · · · · · ·
		61	7 292-3636	Fax: 617						